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Abstract: A quantum harmonic oscillator (spring subsystem) is stabilized towards a target Fock state by reservoir engineering. This passive and open-loop stabilization works by consecutive and identical Hamiltonian interactions with auxiliary systems, here three-level atoms (the auxiliary ladder subsystem), followed by a partial trace over these auxiliary atoms. A scalar control input governs the interaction, defining which atomic transition in the ladder subsystem is in resonance with the spring subsystem. We use it to build a time-varying interaction with individual atoms, that combines three non-commuting steps. We show that the resulting reservoir robustly stabilizes any initial spring state distributed between 0 and $4\bar{n} + 3$ quanta of vibrations towards a pure target Fock state of vibration number $\bar{n}$. The convergence proof relies on the construction of a strict Lyapunov function for the Kraus map induced by this reservoir setting on the spring subsystem. Simulations with realistic parameters corresponding to the quantum electrodynamics setup at Ecole Normale Supérieure further illustrate the robustness of the method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The last decades have seen a surge of developments on the control of systems that feature essential quantum dynamics (see e.g. Wiseman and Milburn [2009]). A major motivation is to harness their peculiar possibilities for IT applications ranging from fundamentally-secure communication, over hyper-precise measurements, to the quantum computer (see e.g. Nielsen and Chuang [2000], Haroche and Raimond [2006]). A basic building block for operations with quantum dynamics is the ability to produce and stabilize a wealth of different ‘target’ states. The extreme fragility, limited measurement and control possibilities in quantum systems make this already a challenging task for many target states with non-trivial (and thus interesting) properties.

Since an isolated quantum system fundamentally follows pure Hamiltonian dynamics, asymptotic stabilization necessarily requires interaction with the external world and relies on the theory of open quantum systems. Such interactions can involve measurement and feedback action, called measurement-based feedback, or also control by tailored interaction as broadly advocated in Willems [1995] and called coherent feedback in the quantum context. Measurement-based feedback introduces specific difficulties because quantum measurement is fundamentally limited to partial state knowledge and always perturbs the measured system (“back-action”). Controller design therefore always needs to follow an interactions-based reasoning (see e.g. Dotsenko et al. [2009], Sayrin and et al. [2011]). Coherent feedback involves a specific structure, based on joint evolution on a tensor product of the interacting subsystems that leads to dissipative and/or stochastic quantum dynamics for the target subsystem (see e.g. James and Gough [2010], Kerckhoff et al. [2010]).

Reservoir engineering is a systematic method related to coherent feedback for open loop stabilization of quantum systems through tailored interaction. For discrete-time quantum systems, the target system repeats the same interaction with a succession of auxiliary systems, which are discarded after interaction. The dynamics on the target system resulting from repeating the same interaction process, takes the form of a Kraus map, see Kraus [1983]. A random Kraus map would generally drive the system to a mixed quantum state (featuring classical uncertainties). For reservoir action, interaction with each individual auxiliary system must be tailored such that the Kraus map has a desired pure state as asymptotically stable equilibrium. General techniques have been proposed to tailor Kraus maps, provided any chosen unitary evolutions can be applied to the target subsystem by controlling its Hamiltonian (kind of ‘complete actuation’ on the sub-
system Hamiltonian, see e.g. Ticozzi and Viola [2009], Bolognani and Ticozzi [2010]). There are however many systems where control over the Hamiltonian is instead very restricted.

This paper considers such a situation, where the target system is an electromagnetic field mode, interacting with three-level atoms as auxiliary systems. This quantum electrodynamics situation is a prototype for so-called universal spring-spin systems (see e.g. Haroche and Raimond [2006]). The spin-spin Hamiltonian is controlled by shifting the frequencies of the atomic transitions as a function of time, through the Stark effect. This yields a very low-dimensional input signal to tailor the target system’s evolution governed by the resulting Kraus map.

Sarlette et al. [2011] propose a method to stabilize so-called ‘Schrödinger cat states’ with this setup. It builds on the construction of symmetric products of non-commuting operators appearing in the Kraus map are computed for input 

We define the target system, the auxiliary three-level subspace. We therefore build an interaction that combines the ‘trapping state’ approach of Rempe et al. [1990] with the construction of symmetric products of non-commuting operators from Sarlette et al. [2011].

We give formal convergence properties for the resulting scheme, both in absence and in presence of a disturbing environment (Theorem 1 based on the construction of a strict Lyapunov function, and Proposition 2). In section 2 we define the target system, the auxiliary three-level atoms, the interaction Hamiltonian with its scalar control input \( u \) and the associated Kraus map. In section 3, the operators appearing in the Kraus map are computed for our open-loop piecewise constant control (10). Section 4 is devoted to convergence analysis. Simulations in Section 5 briefly explore the influence of parameter uncertainties and illustrate the robustness for realistic QED parameters.

2. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

We consider a quantum electrodynamics setup as described e.g. in Haroche and Raimond [2006]. The target system is a field mode, with infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} = \text{span}(|0\rangle, \ldots, |n\rangle, \ldots) \) and free Hamiltonian

\[
H_f = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n \omega_f |n\rangle \langle n|
\]

where \( \omega_f > 0 \) is the field mode pulsation and the index \( n \) gives the photon number. This paper denotes by \( |n\rangle \) the pure photon number eigenstates, called Fock states. The photon number operator is defined by \( N = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n |n\rangle \langle n| = H_f / \omega_f \). We will further use the notation

\[
f_n = f(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f(n) |n\rangle \langle n| = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f_n |n\rangle \langle n|
\]

for an operator that is diagonal in the Fock basis, for any function \( f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C} \). We denote by \( \mathcal{H}_{n_1}^{n_2} \) the Hilbert subspace spanned by Fock states \( |n_1\rangle, |n_1 + 1\rangle, \ldots, |n_2\rangle \). A stream of identical atoms consecutively interact with this field mode according to the Jaynes-Cummings model, playing the role of a ‘reservoir’ to stabilize the field in a target state. We consider three atomic levels \( |g\rangle, |e\rangle, |m\rangle \), with free Hamiltonian

\[
H_a = \omega_m|m\rangle \langle m| - \omega_g|g\rangle \langle g|
\]

up to an irrelevant term that is a multiple of the identity operator. The transition frequencies between levels \( \langle g|, |e\rangle \) and \( (|e\rangle, |m\rangle) \), that is \( \omega_g \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \omega_m \in \mathbb{R} \) respectively, depend on an input \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). The latter represents an energy shift induced on \( |e\rangle \) by an external field through a Stark effect, such that

\[
\omega_g(u) = \omega_g + u \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_m(u) = \omega_m - u.
\]

The constants \( \omega_g \) and \( \omega_m \) are the transition pulsations in absence of external field. For simplicity, the following assumes that \( \omega_g(u) > 0 \) and \( \omega_m(u) > 0 \): \( |g\rangle \) corresponds to the lowest atomic level whereas \( |e\rangle \) and \( |m\rangle \) are the first and second excited states (3-level ladder system).

The proposed method can be adapted to other energy arrangements, like V-structures, by adding short external control pulses that switch the atomic state at specific points in our scheme.

To make atomic transitions and field mode interact, we consider the following realistic situation, in the spirit of Santos and Carvalho [2011]: \( |\omega_g - \omega_f|, |\omega_m - \omega_f| \ll \omega_f \). Here with the standard rotating wave approximation, the atom-field interaction is described by the Hamiltonian:

\[
H_c = i \frac{\Omega}{2} \left( a^\dagger \left[ (|g\rangle \langle e| + |e\rangle \langle m|) - a \left[ (|g\rangle \langle g| + |m\rangle \langle e|) \right] \right] \right). \tag{4}
\]

Here \( i = \sqrt{-1} \) and \( \Omega \) is the interaction strength factor, assumed to be equal for transitions \( (g,e) \) and \( (e,m) \): photon annihilation operator \( a \) is defined by \( a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} |n\rangle \langle n| / \sqrt{\omega_f} \) in the Fock basis; and \( a^\dagger \) denotes the adjoint of an operator (complex conjugate transpose of the associated matrix). We have the fundamental identities \( a^\dagger a = N \), as well as \( a f(N) = f(N+1) a \) and its adjoint \( f(N) a^\dagger = a^\dagger f(N+1) \) for any function \( f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C} \). Equation (4) essentially expresses that atomic state can raise from \( |g\rangle \) to \( |e\rangle \) or from \( |e\rangle \) to \( |m\rangle \) by absorbing one photon from the field, or fall inversely by releasing one photon. The propagator \( \mathcal{U} \), expressing the transformation that the joint atom-field state undergoes during interaction, follows the Schrödinger equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{U}(t) = -i \left( H_f + H_a + H_c \right) \mathcal{U}(t) \tag{5}
\]

with initial condition \( \mathcal{U}(t_0) = \mathcal{I} \) the identity operator.

A standard change of variables \( |\psi\rangle \to e^{iH_f t} |\psi\rangle \) on field state and \( (|g\rangle \langle e|, |e\rangle \langle m|, \ldots) \to (e^{-i\omega_f t} |g\rangle \langle g|, |e\rangle \langle e|, e^{i\omega_f t} |m\rangle \langle m|, \ldots) \) on atomic states leads to ‘interaction coordinates’. In these coordinates the propagator follows

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{U}(t) = -i H_{JC} \mathcal{U}(t) \tag{6}
\]

1 We take the convention, especially useful in quantum mechanics, that \( N \) includes 0.
where the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian writes
\[ H_{JC}(u) = (\Delta_m - u)|m\rangle\langle m| - (\bar{\Delta}_g + u)|g\rangle\langle g| + H_c \] (7)
with \( \Delta_m = \bar{\Delta}_m - \omega_f \) and \( \bar{\Delta}_g = \bar{\Delta}_g - \omega_f \). The goal
being to allow separate interactions of the two atomic transitions with the field, we assume that the \(|m\rangle\) and
\(|g\rangle\) levels are attributed sufficiently different frequencies in \( H_{JC} \), i.e. \( \Delta_m + \Delta_g = \Delta \gg \Omega \). Note that \( H_{JC} \) acts
in parallel on a set of decoupled subspaces spanned by \((|g\rangle \otimes |n + 1\rangle, |e\rangle \otimes |n\rangle, |m\rangle \otimes |n - 1\rangle\). The associated
matrix operators are thus block-diagonal with blocks of size \(3 \times 3\) at most, which facilitates analysis.

The atoms are sent one after the other, every \( T_s\) seconds, to undergo the same interaction with the field. We denote
the field mode density operator just before interacting with the \((k+1)\)th atom by \( \rho_k \). The initial state \( |u_{at}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) of the
atoms can be chosen, as well as the Stark detuning signal \( u(t) \) during the interaction time \([0, T]\), with \( T \leq T_s \). Denote
\( U_T \) the solution at time \( T \) of (6) with \( U(0) = I \) and with the chosen \( u(t) \), governing a time-varying \( H_{JC} \)
in (7). Then the atom-field joint state just after the \((k+1)\)th interaction is given by \( U_T (\rho_k \otimes |u_{at}\rangle \langle u_{at}|) U_T \). This
generally corresponds to an entangled situation. Since we do not measure the final atomic state, the expected field
 evolution follows the Kraus map
\[ \rho_{k+1} = \Phi(\rho_k) = M_g \rho_k M_g^\dagger + M_e \rho_k M_e^\dagger + M_m \rho_k M_m^\dagger, \] (8)
where the operators \( M_g, M_e, M_m \) acting only on the field mode are identified from \( U_T \) and \( |u_{at}\rangle \langle u_{at}| \). \( M_g \) and \( M_m \) are
invariant under the Kraus map (8), which means \( \rho_{k+1} \) remains decoupled from the rest of the field Hilbert space
throughout the interaction. The goal of open-loop stabilization by reservoir engineering is to select \( u(t) \) and \( |u_{at}\rangle \) such that the dynamics (8) asymptotically stabilize a target pure state \( \rho_k \rightarrow \rho_{\infty} = |\psi_{\infty}\rangle \langle \psi_{\infty}| \).

3. CONTROL DESIGN

Our objective is to stabilize a given Fock state \(|\psi_{\infty}\rangle = |\bar{n}\rangle\). Rempe et al. [1990] have noted that in absence of the
\(|m\rangle\) level (i.e. \( \Delta_m \gg \Omega \)), a single atomic transition \(|g\rangle, |e\rangle\) in perfect resonant interaction with the field
(i.e. \( \bar{\Delta}_g + u = 0 \)) allows to “trap” states below \(|\bar{n}\rangle\). The corresponding propagator (obtained by direct integration of
(6) which is then \(2 \times 2\) block-diagonal) writes
\[ U_T |e\rangle = a^\dagger \left( e^{i \bar{\Delta}_g T_s} + \cos \frac{\theta_2 \sqrt{N - 1}}{2} \right) \frac{\sin(\theta_1 \sqrt{N + 1})}{2 \sqrt{N + 1}} |g\rangle \]
\[ + \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta_2 \sqrt{N - 1}}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_2 \sqrt{N - 1}}{2} - e^{i \bar{\Delta}_g T_s} \sin^2 \frac{\theta_1 \sqrt{N + 1}}{2} \right) |e\rangle \]
\[ - e^{-i \bar{\Delta}_g (T_s + T)/2} a \sin \frac{\theta_2 \sqrt{N - 1}}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_2 \sqrt{N + 1}}{2} |m\rangle \] (9)
with \( \theta_1 = \Omega (T - t_s)/2 \) and \( \theta_2 = \Omega t_s \). To make the target field state \(|\bar{n}\rangle\) invariant under the Kraus map (8),
we make it invariant under \( U_T |e\rangle \). This is achieved with the trapping-like condition:
\[ (T - t_s) = \frac{2 \pi}{\Omega \sqrt{n + 1}} \Leftrightarrow \theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{n + 1}}. \] (10)
For simplicity, we take \( \bar{\Delta}_s = 0 \) nominally \(^2\). The value of \( \bar{\Delta}_s \) is irrelevant as it drops out of the Kraus map
for the field evolution. The value of \( \theta_2 \) remains to be fixed.

\(^2\) To this end, the value of \( \bar{\Delta}_s \) can be slightly tuned by adjusting the trap that governs field mode frequency \( \omega_f \). Indeed since \( \Delta \gg \Omega \), a small shift in \( \bar{\Delta}_s \) allows to sensibly tune \( \bar{\Delta}_s \) for all \( t_s \) that yield non-negligible values of \( \theta_2 = \Omega t_s \).
4. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Condition (12) makes the subspace $H_0^{(4n+3)}$ invariant by $M_q$, $M_e$ and $M_m$. We denote $P_0^{(4n+3)}$ the orthogonal projection onto $H_0^{(4n+3)}$. Consider a candidate Lyapunov function of the form $V(\rho) = \text{trace}(f_x(\rho))$, for some function $f(\rho)$ to be determined. Then (8) and (11) lead to

$$V(\Phi(\rho)) - V(\rho) =$$

$$\text{trace} \left( \rho \sin^2(\frac{\alpha_N}{2}) \sin^2(\beta_N) \left( f(N-I) - f(N) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \text{trace} \left( \rho \sin^2(\alpha_N) \cos^4(\frac{\beta_N}{2}) \left( f(N+I) - f(N) \right) \right)$$

with $\alpha_N = \pi \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}$ and $\beta_N = \theta_2 \sqrt{n}/2$. Note that the last line of the above equation vanishes for $n = |4n+3\rangle\langle 4n+3|$ and any function $J_N$, reflecting the decoupling of $H_0^{(4n+3)}$ from the remaining of the Hilbert space. To formally restrict ourselves to $H_0^{(4n+3)}$, we can take $f(n) = f(4n+3)$ for all $n > 4n+3$, such that $f(N-I) - f(N)$ and $f(N+I) - f(N)$ vanish on $H_0^{(4n+3)}$. Further take $\eta \in (0,1)$, $f(\bar{n}) = 0$, $f(n+1) = f(n-1) = 1$ and set

$$f(n+1) = f(n) + \eta \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_N}{2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_N}{2} \right) \right) (f(n) - f(n+1))$$

for $0 < n < \bar{n}$,

$$f(n+1) = f(n) + \eta \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_N}{2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_N}{2} \right) \right) (f(n) - f(n+1))$$

for $\bar{n} < n < 4n+3$.

Then $V(\Phi(\rho)) - V(\rho) = \text{trace}(q_N \rho)$ with $q_n = 0$ for $n = \bar{n}$, $n > 4n+3$, and

$$q_n = \sin^2 \alpha_n \cos^4 \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right) \left( \eta \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right) \right) (f(n) - f(n+1))$$

for $0 < n < \bar{n}$,

$$q_n = \sin^2 \beta_n \cos^4 \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \right) \left( \eta \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right) \right) (f(n) - f(n+1))$$

for $\bar{n} < n < 4n+3$.

We then have the following convergence result.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the dynamics (8) where $M_g$, $M_e$ and $M_m$ are defined by (11) with (12), and its restriction to density operators $\rho$ with support in $H_0^{(4n+3)}$. Assume that $\theta_2 \neq \frac{k}{2} \pi \sqrt{n}$ for all $(n,k) \in \{1,\ldots, 4n+3\} \times N$. Then $V(\rho)$ built with (13) is a strict Lyapunov function: for any $\rho_0$ with support in $H_0^{(4n+3)}$, $\rho_k$ converges towards the fixed point $\rho_\infty = |\bar{n}\rangle\langle \bar{n}|$.

**Proof:** Thanks to the assumption on $\theta_2$, (13) implies $f(n) > f(n-1)$ for $\bar{n} < n < 4n+3$ and $f(n) > f(n+1)$ for $0 < n < \bar{n}$. Then the same assumption ensures that $q_n$ is strictly negative for all $n < 4n+3$ except $n = \bar{n}$. Writing $\rho = \sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle\langle \psi_j|$ (spectral decomposition with $p_j > 0$, $\sum_j p_j = 1$) then yields

$$V(\Phi(\rho)) - V(\rho) = \sum_j p_j \langle \psi_j | q_N | \psi_j \rangle < 0$$

unless $P_0^{(4n+3)}|\psi_j\rangle = s_j |\bar{n}\rangle$ with $s_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $j$, implying $P_0^{(4n+3)} P_0^{(4n+3)} = D |\bar{n}\rangle\langle \bar{n}|$ for some $D \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $V$ is a strict Lyapunov function in $H_0^{(4n+3)}$ as stated. □

**Remark:** The above construction of $f(n)$ can in fact be extended to $n < 9\bar{n}+8$. For generic $\theta_2$, such that $\sin(2\beta_n)$ never vanishes, the resulting $V$ is then a non-strict Lyapunov function on the invariant Hilbert subspace $H_0^{(9\bar{n}+8)}$ with the LaSalle invariance principle ensuring convergence of $\rho$ to a $\rho_\infty$ supported on the subspace spanned by $|m\rangle, |9m+8\rangle$. Considering even larger Hilbert spaces, one shows that $\rho$ converges to a state with nonzero population only on Fock states $|2l+1\rangle^2(\bar{n}+1) - 1$ for $l$ integer.

The experiments working in very low temperature environments ensure that decoherence usually pulls the field state towards vacuum (Fock state $|0\rangle$) and depopulates high-number Fock states.

Tailored interaction (10) with the atomic system thus makes the otherwise isolated field converge to $|\bar{n}\rangle$ for virtually all $\theta_2 > 0$. This confirms the interest of this symmetric product operator approach. We next analyze how the latter can be optimized to strengthen convergence w.r.t. external disturbances. We therefore add to the evolution model a typical relaxation disturbance, also called decoherence: evolution between consecutive atomic samples becomes

$$\rho_{k+1} = \Phi(\rho_k) - \frac{1}{2} |\langle N(\Phi(\rho_k) + \Phi(\rho_k)N - 2a\Phi(\rho_k)A | \rangle (15)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} |\langle N+1, N(\Phi(\rho_k) + \Phi(\rho_k)(N+1) - 2a\Phi(\rho_k)a | \rangle$$

with $\Gamma^+ = k\eta T_s \ll \Gamma^- = k(1+n_{th}) T_s \ll 1$. The terms in $\Gamma^-$ and $\Gamma^+$ model interaction with a thermal environment that induces photon annihilation and creation respectively. Parameter $\kappa$ models coupling strength to this environment, while $n_{th}$ is the average number of thermal photons per mode in the environment. The invariant-subspace structure no longer rigorously holds, but we can invoke a physical argument to still truncate our computations at $n \leq 9\bar{n}+8$, ensuring that the discretized model remains valid and all parameters in the following are well-behaved.

Writing the operator $\rho_k$ as a matrix with components $[\rho_k]_{a,b}$, for $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, the evolution (15) couples component $[\rho_k]_{a,b}$ only to components $[\rho_k]_{a+l,b+l}$ i.e. on the same diagonal of the matrix. To analyze how the fidelity $\langle \bar{n}|\rho_k| \bar{n}\rangle$ target $\langle \bar{n}|\bar{n}\rangle$ evolves, we may thus reduce our investigation to the vector $r_k$ containing the principal diagonal of $\rho_k$, that is $[r_k]_a = [\rho_k]_{a,a}$ for $a = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Evolution (15) yields

$$r_{k+1} = B \cdot A \cdot r_k$$

with $A$ and $B$ tridiagonal real positive non-symmetric matrices, representing reservoir and decoherence respectively. The upper, lower and principal diagonals of $B$ (respectively $A$) have elements $b_n = \Gamma^- n$ (resp. $d_n = \sin^2 \beta_n \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right)$) for $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$; $c_n = \Gamma^+ n$ (resp. $e_n = \sin^2 \alpha_n \cos^2 \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right)$) for $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$; and $1 - c_n - b_n$ (resp. $1 - d_n - e_n$). $A$ and $B$ are thus column-stochastic, reflecting conservation of trace($\rho) = 1^2 \bar{n}$ where $1$ is the vector of all ones. Therefore $B \cdot A$ has at least one eigenvalue $1$. The corresponding 1-eigenvector $r_\star$ characterizes photon populations for a field pointer state under reservoir and decoherence.

We try to approximate it by viewing $B \cdot A$ as a small perturbation of $A$. Denote $x^0$ the r-vector corresponding to $|\bar{n}\rangle\langle \bar{n}|$, let $R^0 = A - I$ and $R^1 = (B - I)(A - I) + (B - I)$. Then we know that $R^0 x^0 = 0$ with $1^T x^0 = 1$, i.e. $x^0$ is the steady-state solution $r_\star$ when $B = I$ (no perturbation). In
presence of a thermal environment, we get \( r_s = x^0 + x^1 \)
solution of
\[
(R^0 + R^1)(x^0 + x^1) = 0, \quad 1^T x^1 = 0. \quad (16)
\]

Proposition 2. Approximating \( R^1 \) \( x^1 \approx 0 \), problem (16)
can be explicitly solved. It gives \( 1 + x_n^1 \) as estimated fidelity
of \( \rho_\infty \) to our goal, where
\[
-x_n^1 = \frac{b_n}{e_n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\bar{n}} \prod_{l=2}^{m} e_{n-l+1}^m + \frac{c_n}{e_n+1} \sum_{m>0}^{\bar{n}} \prod_{l=2}^{m} e_{n+l-1}^m.
\]
An approximation error of order \( (x_n^1)^2 \) is expected.

Proof: Solving (16) approximated (note that \( d_n = c_n = 0 \)) fixes the components of \( x^1 \), except \( x_n^1 \) which remains free:
\[
x_n^1 = \frac{b_n}{e_n-1} \ ; \quad x_n^1 = \frac{d_n+c_n}{d_n} x_{n+1}^1 \forall n < \bar{n} - 1 ;
\]
\[
x_n^1 = \frac{c_n}{d_n} x_{n-1}^1 \forall n > \bar{n} + 1 .
\]
Then (16) determines \( x_n^1 < 0 \). \( \square \)

Proposition 2 can be used to optimize \( \theta_2 \) for maximal fidelity in presence of decoherence, see next Section. Note that \( \theta_2 = 0 \) (the case of Rempe et al. [1990]) would yield
\( d_n = 0 \) for all \( n \). The small-\( x_1 \) approximation would then lead to an invalid recurrence, suggesting that decoherence leads to large \( x_1 \) i.e. the method of Rempe et al. [1990] is poorly robust.

5. SIMULATIONS

For the simulations we consider realistic parameters corresponding to the cavity quantum electrodynamics setup
at Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris. See e.g. Haroche and Raimond [2006], Delégilde and et al. [2008] for detailed explanations. Vacuum Rabi frequency is given by \( \Omega/2\pi = 50 \) kHz and we take \( \Sigma \approx 1000 \). We numerically compute propagators for the exact interaction (7) and \( u \) given by (10). For each simulation we slightly adapt \( \Sigma \) to optimize fidelity, see footnote 2. Evolution of \( \rho_k \) is computed starting from a vacuum initial state \( \rho_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0| \). Figure 1 illustrates the good working principle of the method, despite our approximate reasoning: \( \rho_{k+1} = \Phi(\rho_k) \) converges essentially exactly to \( \rho_\infty = |\bar{n}\rangle \langle \bar{n}| \) for all \( \bar{n} \in \{1, 2, ..., 8\} \).

The simulations are run with an arbitrary \( \theta_2 = 1/\sqrt{\bar{n}} \).

We next add decoherence. We take \( 1/\kappa = 0.1 \) s and cryogenic \( n_{\text{th}} = 0.05 \), corresponding to current high-standard experiments. This environment-induced decoherence is in competition with our reservoir strength, that is mainly the time between consecutive atoms. The latter can realistically\(^3\) be set to \( T_s = 60 \) \( \mu \)s, but there is only a 0.3 probability that an interacting atom is indeed present when trying to send one. The expected evolution from \( \rho_k \) to \( \rho_{k+1} \) is thus similar to (a less approximated version of) (15) except that operator \( 0.71 + 0.3 \Phi \) replaces \( \Phi \). Figure 2 represents the evolution of the diagonal elements of \( \rho \) with the reservoir of Rempe et al. [1990] (top) and with our symmetric-interaction reservoir (bottom), for a

\(^3\) Although the required values of \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) allow smaller \( T_s \), we are experimentally limited to periods of the order of \( T_s \).

Fig. 1. Fidelity \( \langle |\bar{n}\rangle |\rho_k| \langle \bar{n}| \) of \( \rho_k \) to target state \( |\bar{n}\rangle \) as a function of atomic interaction \( k \), for \( \bar{n} = 1, 2, ..., 8 \)
(goint from top to bottom curve) and \( \Gamma^- = \Gamma^+ = 0 \)
i.e. no environmental disturbance.

Finally, we consider errors in model parameters as another important robustness criterion. Small variations in \( \theta_2 \) appear to give no detectable effect, indicating a rather flat optimum in \( \theta_2 \). Absolute errors of \( \pm \pi/8 \) on \( \Sigma_{\alpha} \) also barely affect fidelity (less than 1%). The fidelities obtained with our reservoir subject to errors in \( \theta_1 \) (and still in presence of decoherence) are represented for each \( \bar{n} \) by the 3rd and 4th bars from left on Fig. 3. Relative errors of \( \pm 2\% \) have a detectable but tolerable effect; especially, setting \( \theta_1 \) in an interval centered slightly below the ideal value seems to be a good robustness compromise. The same \( \pm 2\% \) error on \( \theta_1 \) would be drastically detrimental with the scheme of Rempe et al. [1990]: even in absence of a disturbing environment (\( \Gamma^- = \Gamma^+ = 0 \)) the fidelity to \( |\bar{n}\rangle \) would then quickly decrease towards zero, dropping to about 0.15 already after 0.1 s and below 0.01 after 0.25 s. Overall our construction of atom-field interaction as a product of non-commuting transformations thus leads to a significantly more robust stabilization scheme.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes an ‘engineered reservoir’ to stabilize Fock states of a quantum harmonic oscillator thanks to its interaction with a stream of three-level systems. We use a single control signal to tailor a time-varying Hamiltonian interaction. We prove that the resulting propagator yields a Kraus map that robustly ‘traps’ the spring state on a desired Fock state. This seems to be a potentially practical open-loop alternative to measurement-based feedback for achieving high-fidelity stabilization of Fock states. The proposed method admits several variations for experimental implementation. Among others, a similar stabilizing effect is obtained by using a stream of two-level atoms each undergoing one of two different interactions. Given the practical possibilities shown in the present and previous
Fig. 2. Evolution of the diagonal elements of $\rho_k$ in presence of a disturbing environment like in (15), with the reservoir of Rempe et al. [1990] (top) and with our symmetric-interaction reservoir (bottom), for $\bar{n} = 3$. Graduation is given as a function of time = $k \cdot 60 \mu s$.

Fig. 3. Fidelity $\langle \bar{n} | \rho_\infty | \bar{n} \rangle$ of the steady state $\rho_\infty$ in presence of a disturbing environment to target states $| \bar{n} \rangle$, for $\bar{n} = 1, 2, ..., 8$. The left-most bars represent fidelity with our simulated reservoir, the second are the values predicted by Proposition 2, and the right-most ones are obtained after 4 s with the reservoir of Rempe et al. [1990]. The third and fourth bars from left correspond to the same conditions as the first ones, but incorporating a systematic error of $-2\%$ and $+2\%$ respectively on $\theta_1$.

papers, future work could further investigate systematic methods for designing products of interactions that robustly stabilize quantum states.
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