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Review of carbon dioxide capture and storage with relevance to the South African power sector

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and their association with climate change are currently a major discussion point in government and amongst the public at large in South Africa, especially because of the country’s heavy reliance on fossil fuels for electricity production. Here we review the current situation regarding CO₂ emissions in the South African power generation sector, and potential process engineering solutions to reduce these emissions. Estimates of CO₂ emissions are presented, with the main sources of emissions identified and benchmarked to other countries. A promising mid-term solution for mitigation of high CO₂ emissions, known as CO₂ capture and storage, is reviewed. The various aspects of CO₂ capture and storage technology and techniques for CO₂ capture from pulverised coal power plants are discussed; these techniques include processes such as gas absorption, hydrate formation, cryogenic separation, membrane usage, sorbent usage, enzyme-based systems and metal organic frameworks. The latest power plant designs which optimise CO₂ capture are also discussed and include integrated gasification combined cycle, oxy-fuel combustion, integrated gasification steam cycle and chemical looping combustion. Each CO₂ capture technique and plant modification is presented in terms of the conceptual idea, the advantages and disadvantages, and the extent of development and applicability in a South African context. Lastly, CO₂ transportation, storage, and potential uses are also presented. The main conclusions of this review are that gas absorption using solvents is currently most applicable for CO₂ capture and that enhanced coal bed methane recovery could provide the best disposal route for CO₂ emissions mitigation in South Africa.

Introduction

There has been a nearly 100% increase in worldwide CO₂ emissions since 1971. This increase is of great concern to scientists, governments and the public in general as there is general consensus from the greater scientific community that CO₂ – a greenhouse gas – is one of the main contributors to rapid climate change experienced globally, especially in the last few decades.

Globally, 78–83% of CO₂ emissions can be attributed to electricity generation from fossil fuels. In South Africa the situation is no different with almost 93% of the country’s electricity needs provided by fossil fuels; 77% of electricity is provided specifically by coal power plants. Because of the country’s abundant coal reserves, the use of relatively inexpensive coal-derived power is unlikely to cease in the next 200 years. Coal power plant operations have resulted in South Africa’s power sector being the 9th highest CO₂ emitting company in the world, as a result of its utilisation of pulverised coal (PC) combustion plants.

As can be seen in Figure 1, the most significant CO₂ emission sources in South Africa are situated in the Gauteng, Mpumalanga and Free State Provinces – not surprisingly, as these provinces form the heart of South Africa’s coal mining sector and the regions in which most coal power plants are situated. Figure 1 shows not only the CO₂ emissions from coal power plants, but also those from coal-to-liquids industries, gas-to-liquids industries and oil refining processes.
In an effort to reduce CO₂ emissions and encourage a move towards a cleaner energy strategy, the South African government is considering proposing a CO₂ emissions tax that would be levied on all CO₂ emission sources. Recent debates have suggested a tax rate of R75 to R200 per tonne of CO₂ emitted; with the most recent and currently applicable cost being R120/tonne CO₂ in line with international standards. Considering that South Africa’s energy industry emits well over 200 Mt of CO₂ per annum, the proposed levy will result in significant increases in operating costs for companies in this sector. With Eskom having over the last few years almost doubled its electricity tariff, the proposed CO₂ emission tax will further add to the need for Eskom to increase its tariff if it passes on this cost to the consumer. It is therefore imperative that solutions to reduce CO₂ emissions be found.

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is a promising mid- to long-term solution to reduce CO₂ emissions. This strategy involves capturing CO₂ at power plants and other industries before they are emitted, transporting CO₂ to suitable disposal locations, and either storing CO₂ underground or utilising CO₂ to retrieve high-value products.

In this review, we concentrate on coal power plant operations and their suitability for CCS technologies. Techniques that are potentially applicable to CO₂ capture in coal power plants are presented, and CO₂ transportation, storage and potential uses are discussed with specific relevance to South Africa.

**Coal power plant operations**

Currently, South Africa possesses 14 PC power plants; 7 of them are in the top 30 highest CO₂ emitting power plants in the world. A simplified schematic of a typical PC power plant is shown in Figure 2. In a PC power plant, coal is transported to a pulveriser via conveyor belts and crushed into a powder with a particle diameter of approximately 50 μm. Hot air then blasts the coal into a boiler where it is burnt. The heat generated is used to heat tubes containing water. These tubes can be kilometres long, but are coiled in order to be compact. The water in the heat exchanger tubes is converted into superheated steam at high pressure. The steam is used to drive turbine blades which spin the turbine. The turbine shaft is linked to a generator, which generates electricity using an electromagnet. The electricity flows through transmission lines and transformers to reach consumers at the required voltages. The used steam is then cooled and condensed in cooling towers, and recycled to the boilers for reheating.

The gases that are released during the coal combustion are filtered using bag filters to remove ash. If the gas mixture contains substantial sulphurous and nitrogenous emissions, particularly SO₂ and NOₓ compounds, then desulphurisation and denitrification processes can be installed to remove them, although these processes have currently not been implemented in South African coal power plants. The remaining gases are emitted through a stack as flue gas. Flue gas composition varies according to coal composition and power plant flue gas treatment processes. The typical composition of flue gases is approximately 12–12.8% CO₂, 6.2% H₂O, 4.4% O₂, 50 ppm CO, 420 ppm NOₓ, 420 ppm SO₂ and 76–77% N₂. The flue gas is typically emitted at pressures ranging from 100 kPa to 170 kPa and temperatures of 363.15–412.15 K.

PC power plants typically emit CO₂ on a magnitude of 514 kg CO₂/MWh electricity produced.

CO₂ removal from PC power plants entails retrofitting the power plant with a CO₂ capture process to treat the flue gas for selective CO₂ removal before it is emitted through the stack. This mode of CO₂ capture is known as post-combustion capture, because CO₂ capture occurs after coal combustion.

PC combustion is a well-developed and common power plant process that requires a lower investment cost compared to newer technologies. However, CO₂ capture and compression is expensive as the flue gas to be treated is available at unfavourably low pressure and high temperature.

1. coal heap; 2. boilers; 3. superheated steam in turbine; 4. generator rotor; 5. transmission lines; 6, condensed H₂O; 7. cooling tower; 8. stack

**Figure 2:** Pulverised coal power plant.

**Techniques of capturing CO₂ from pulverised coal power plants**

Currently, there are many gas separation techniques under investigation for post-combustion CO₂ capture from PC power plants. This section explains the unique properties of CO₂ and presents CO₂ capture techniques which exploit these properties for efficient gas separation, despite the unfavourable conditions of post-combustion flue gas at the stack.

**Solubility and pH of CO₂ in H₂O**

The solubility of CO₂ in water is 0.9 vol CO₂/vol H₂O or 0.0007 mol CO₂/mol H₂O at 293.15 K. CO₂ forms weak carbonic acid when dissolved. This dissolution, however, may reduce the pH of water to as low as 5.5. This finding is important, as it confirms that CO₂ acts as an acid in acid–base reactions, which is vital information in the selection of solvents or sorbents which may be used to absorb or adsorb CO₂.

Gas absorption using solvents

The acidic nature of dissolved CO₂ in water dictates the types of physical and chemical solvents that would potentially be successful for efficient CO₂ absorption. Applicable chemical solvents include amine solvents and solutions, which result in CO₂ absorption by zwitterion formation and easy deprotonation by a weak base. Promising potential physical solvents include chilled ammonia, Amisol and Rectisol solvents, and ionic liquids which consist purely of cations and anions. Huang and Rüther discovered that a Lewis acid type interaction occurs between CO₂ and anions, with CO₂ acting as a Lewis acid and anions acting as a Lewis base.

The selective absorption of CO₂ can be achieved by passing the flue gas through an absorber through which solvent flows counter-currently. CO₂ is selectively absorbed into the solvent and exits through the bottom, while other flue gas components are passed out through the top of the absorber (Figure 3). The solvent loaded with CO₂ is then heated and sent to a stripping column where desorption occurs. CO₂ is released, while the unloaded solvent is recycled to the absorber.

The advantage of this strategy is that the process is well developed as it is already in use for other gas treatment requirements such as desulphurisation and denitrification processes. There are many possible solvents and solvent mixtures that are under investigation for
CO₂ absorption, including amine and carbonate solvents, as well as ionic liquids.

The disadvantage is the high energy penalty associated with solvent regeneration in the stripping column. CO₂ absorption increases with decreasing temperature, requiring flue gas to be cooled for CO₂ absorption, as flue gas is available at a relatively high temperature of up to 413 K. However, thereafter, the loaded solvent needs to be heated in the stripping column to release CO₂ and recycle the solvent. There is ongoing research on finding suitable solvents that are easily regenerated with a much lower energy penalty.

Pilot plants for processes of this type have already been set up in Austria and the Netherlands in 2008. South Africa’s first CO₂ capture plant that would likely include solvent absorption is scheduled to be set up by 2020, pending the success of CO₂ injection projects (Surridge T, 2011, oral and written communication, November 28).

CO₂ capture using dry regenerative sorbents

Figure 4 illustrates a sorbent adsorption process. Flue gas is first cooled and then sent to a carbonation reactor, which is a packed or fluidised sorbent bed reactor. CO₂ is absorbed or adsorbed into the sorbents. This process may be physical or reactive. The sorbent, now loaded with CO₂, is then transferred to a regenerator where it is heated to release the CO₂. The sorbent is then recycled to the carbonation reactor.

The low attrition resistance of many sorbents is a fundamental setback to their implementation as a CO₂ capture technique. While single-cycle results seem promising, many sorbents are not robust enough to be used in multi-cycle operation with conventional solids handling techniques. Sorbent pellets may erode or become caked and lose shape. High water content in the flue gas results in further attrition and sorbent caking. Moreover, the expensive nature of solids handling, including conveyor belts and compressed air blast loops which require maintenance, also reduces the feasibility of using sorbents as a CO₂ capture technique.

Research, especially on the introduction of additives and sorbent supports and hybrid processes that combine sorbents with solvents, is being conducted to overcome the current challenges experienced with the use of sorbents. Details of a pilot plant set-up and usage are provided by Manovic et al. who utilised a fixed bed reactor. Fluidised bed pilot projects have also been considered in Canada and Korea.

CO₂ molecular size

The CO₂ properties presented by the Asia Industrial Gases Association show that the CO₂ molecule – a carbon atom double bonded to two oxygen atoms – is compact. Also, importantly, the molecule is linear in shape, with a bond length of 116.18 pm, making the molecule approximately 232 pm in length. Figure 5 provides an illustration of the molecule.

Membrane separation

Figure 6 illustrates a typical membrane contactor. Flue gas enters into a membrane separation unit. CO₂ selectively permeates through the membrane while other flue gas components do not. Flue gas passes out as stack gas, while CO₂ is recovered and compressed on the other side of the membrane.

By comparison, the diatomic O₂ molecule has a bond length of 120.8 pm, water has a bond length of 102.9 pm and N₂ has a bond length of 109.7 pm. The size and linear shape of the CO₂ molecule in relation to other flue gas components, as well as other properties such as dipole moment and polarisability, facilitates not only the use of sorbents, but also the use of conventional membrane filtration systems, enzymatic membranes and metal organic frameworks to filter out CO₂ from smaller molecules of various components of flue gas.

While membranes can be used on their own, increased efficiency is noted when solvents are used as a sweep fluid to accelerate mass transfer and recover CO₂ on the other side of the membrane. Some
solvents, such as ionic liquids, are combined into the membrane pores to increase CO₂ permeability through the membrane.2

Common membrane material includes zeolite, ceramic, polymer and silica. More fragile membranes are supported by alumina to increase their robustness. Depending on the type of filtration unit, the process can operate in batch or continuous mode.

The advantage of membranes is that CO₂ can potentially be recovered at high purity. Membrane units are well developed and there is high scope of study regarding membrane types and solvent combinations. If no solvent is used, then solvent regeneration and recycling is not required.

The challenge in implementing membrane separation for CO₂ capture is the high pressure that the process demands. The flue gas needs to be compressed before undergoing filtration in order to achieve a high CO₂ removal rate, which amounts to a high energy penalty. Moreover, many types of membrane material cannot satisfy optimum CO₂ permeability and selectivity constraints and are not robust enough for long-term operation. Satisfying these requirements forms part of ongoing research.

A pilot plant in the Netherlands which accommodates CO₂ capture using membranes combined with solvents was constructed in 2008.18

Enzyme-based systems

Instead of using conventional membranes as previously described, enzymes can be used as a liquid membrane suspended between hollow fibre supports for rigidity. As shown in Figure 7, flue gas passes through the liquid membrane. CO₂ is hydrated and permeates as carbonic acid (HCO₃⁻) at a faster rate than N₂, O₂ and other flue gas components. CO₂ is recovered under pressure or using a sweep gas on the other side.2

A popular enzyme for CO₂ capture is carbonic anhydrase. CO₂ recovery with this technique can potentially be as high as 90%.7 About 600 000 molecules of CO₂ are hydrated by one molecule of carbonic anhydrase.26 A further advantage is that the heat of absorption of CO₂ into carbonic anhydrase is comparatively low.

Disadvantages include limitations at the membrane boundary layers, long-term uncertainty, and sulphur sensitivity of the enzyme,24 prompting ongoing research on new enzymes.

Research in this technique has not gone beyond laboratory studies on CO₂ permeability and selectivity.26,27

Metal organic frameworks

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are hybrid organic/inorganic structures containing metal ions geometrically coordinated and bridged with organic bridging ligands29 (Figure 8). This arrangement increases the surface area for adsorption, enabling them to be used as sorbents or as nanoporous membranes.

Figure 7: CO₂ separation using the carbonic anhydrase (CA) enzyme.2

A popular enzyme for CO₂ capture is carbonic anhydrase. CO₂ recovery with this technique can potentially be as high as 90%.7 About 600 000 molecules of CO₂ are hydrated by one molecule of carbonic anhydrase.26 A further advantage is that the heat of absorption of CO₂ into carbonic anhydrase is comparatively low.

Disadvantages include limitations at the membrane boundary layers, long-term uncertainty, and sulphur sensitivity of the enzyme,24 prompting ongoing research on new enzymes.

Research in this technique has not gone beyond laboratory studies on CO₂ permeability and selectivity.26,27

Metal organic frameworks

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are hybrid organic/inorganic structures containing metal ions geometrically coordinated and bridged with organic bridging ligands29 (Figure 8). This arrangement increases the surface area for adsorption, enabling them to be used as sorbents or as nanoporous membranes.

Figure 8: Structure of a typical metal organic framework.29

MOFs possess much potential for CO₂ capture because there are hundreds of possible MOFs that can be developed using various combinations of metal ions and organic ligands. They can be tailor-made to suit a particular application such as CO₂ capture.24 MOFs containing zinc and magnesium ions provide higher CO₂ adsorption and are hence being thoroughly investigated.20,31 Another advantage is that regeneration energy required is lower than that for conventional sorbents and solvents.2

The study of metal organic frameworks is still in its infancy, with investigations being made primarily on a laboratory scale.

CO₂ phase behaviour

The critical point and triple point of CO₂ are 304.25 K and 216.55 K, respectively,13 and the phase behaviour of CO₂ shown in Figure 9 also allows for CO₂ capture from flue gas by changing conditions of temperature and pressure. Figure 9 shows a wide range of temperature and pressure conditions for the conversion of CO₂ from the gas phase into the liquid phase, as well as into the solid phase for storage. Separation processes that make use of the phase behaviour of CO₂ include cryogenic separation and hydrate formation.

Figure 9: H₂O and CO₂ phase diagram.11,32

Cryogenic separation

Cryogenic separation entails the separation of CO₂ from flue gas by a phase change, specifically through cooling flue gas until CO₂ exists in the liquid or solid phase. Figure 9 indicates that vapour–liquid phase change can occur at temperatures between 217 K and 304 K and pressures from 630 kPa to 7396 kPa. In the case of recovering CO₂ in the solid phase
at lower temperature, the process is also popularly referred to as CO₂ anti-sublimation.

Figure 10: Cryogenic CO₂ capture. ⁴³

As shown in Figure 10, flue gas is cooled in a heat exchanger and moisture is removed. The resultant dry gas contains CH₄, CO₂, N₂, O₂, and trace components such as Hg, SO₂, and HCl. The dry flue gas is moderately compressed and sent to a heat exchanger where its temperature is lowered to just above the CO₂ solidification point. This temperature varies depending on the operating pressure, which depends on the flue gas conditions from the coal power plant.

SO₂ and other trace compounds from the flue gas are removed using a flash unit which utilises pressure difference to separate components based on volatility. The flue gas then passes through an expander, which causes further cooling and partial precipitation of CO₂. CO₂ is thus separated from the flue gas, which at this point consists primarily of N₂ gas. The CO₂ rich stream is further pressurised and recycled, together with the N₂ rich stream, to the heat exchanger to cool incoming dry flue gas. The CO₂ rich stream undergoes a temperature increase during heat exchange which results in CO₂ being produced in the liquid phase at elevated pressure. N₂ remains in the gaseous phase and is recovered separately.

As an alternative to applying high pressure to compress the flue gas, simulations have proved CO₂ liquefaction to be more energy efficient and cost effective. This technique entails cooling the flue gas instead of compressing it. Energy costs associated with gas compression are reduced, and operating and investment costs for circulation equipment are also reduced. ⁴⁴

The advantage of cryogenic separation is that CO₂ can potentially be recovered at 99% purity. Refrigeration processes are already well established. Refrigerants such as n-butane, propane, ethane and methane, or a blend of each, can be used. ⁴⁵

The disadvantage is the high energy penalty associated with cooling flue gas by refrigeration. Flue gas needs to be cooled to 136–194 K, ⁴⁶ ⁴⁷ depending on the concentration of CO₂ in the flue gas. Mixed results have been obtained in various studies on the energy penalty and resultant efficiency of cryogenic separation as a CO₂ capture method. Some studies suggest that cryogenic separation possesses an 11–27% energy penalty and is 40% more efficient than conventional absorption. ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ However, other studies estimate that the efficiency of cryogenic separation is 3% lower than absorption and membrane processes. ⁵⁰ Efficiency depends on the CO₂ composition in the flue gas and the degree to which pinch technology can be applied.

The CATO (CO₂ Afvang, Transport en Opslag) programme in the Netherlands, has developed a pilot plant that also accommodates the study of cryogenic separation. ¹⁷

Hydrate formation

A separation process which makes use of CO₂ and H₂O phase behaviour, as well as molecular size and bond lengths, is hydrate formation. This technique entails passing flue gas through a unit containing chilled water at optimum temperature and pressure, causing some components of the flue gas to freeze together with water molecules to form hydrates, which are ice-like crystals in which the gas molecules are trapped inside a cage of water molecules, through hydrogen bonding. Figure 11 shows wide ranges of temperature and pressure that can result in hydrate formation.

The disadvantage is the low temperature and very high pressure required for hydrate formation, an advantage of this process is its high selectivity; CO₂-rich stream

Figure 11: Pressure–temperature diagram for formation and dissociation of hydrates via the isochoric pressure-search method. ⁵¹

The specific formation of CO₂-water hydrates industrially can require low temperatures of 268.15–288.15 K, and very high pressures of 3000–50 000 kPa. ⁵² Hydrate formation pressure decreases substantially at temperatures lower than 273 K. Figure 12 shows various hydrate structures. The structures differ depending on the guest molecule. A structure I hydrate is formed with CO₂, as a result of the quadrupole nature of the CO₂ molecule.

CO₂-water hydrates form and exist as ice crystals in a slurry of water, while other flue gas components remain in the vapour phase and are recovered. CO₂ is thereafter recovered by heating the ice crystals, which releases the CO₂ molecules.

As a result of the size of the CO₂ molecules and the resultant ease of hydrate formation, an advantage of this process is its high selectivity; 99% CO₂ recovery can be achieved. ⁵³ Water is used as an inexpensive recyclable solvent.

The disadvantage is the low temperature and very high pressure required for hydrate formation. Studies are currently being conducted on additives and hydrate formation promoters to reduce the required pressure for hydrate formation.
hydrate formation, so as to improve the feasibility of the process. Moreover, the handling of slurries results in maintenance problems such as pipeline plugging.

Hydrate formation as a CO₂ capture technique is relatively under-developed. There are plans, however, to set up a pilot plant in the USA which caters for hydrate formation.¹¹

**CO₂ mitigation through the design of new coal power plants**

The CO₂ capture techniques described above are investigated primarily for their ability to capture CO₂ from conventional PC power plants. These techniques are intended to be retrofitted in post-combustion mode to existing PC power plants. However, a further option for future coal power plants is to design the coal combustion process in a manner that would result in favourable flue gas composition and conditions, and hence result in more efficient CO₂ capture, from a cost and energy point of view. The main alternative coal combustion processes currently under investigation are integrated gasification combined cycle, oxy-fuel combustion, integrated gasification steam cycle and chemical looping combustion.

**Integrated gasification combined cycle**

A new alternative power plant process is the integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) process. While there are currently no such power plants in South Africa, the process has some advantages over PC power plants and is a more environmentally friendly alternative for new power plant construction.

A simplified schematic of an IGCC power plant is shown in Figure 13. In this process, nearly pure oxygen (O₂) is produced using an air separation unit. The O₂ is sent to a gasifier together with coal. Combustion in the presence of nearly pure O₂ occurs. Coal is partially oxidised to produce a mixture of CO, CO₂, and H₂, collectively known as syngas.¹⁶ The gasifier operates at 3500–7000 kPa and 1255–1644 K. The reactions occurring in the gasifier are:¹⁶

\[
\begin{align*}
C_xH_y + xH_2O &\rightarrow xCO + (x + y/2)H_2 \quad \text{Reaction 1} \\
C_xH_y + (x/2)O_2 &\rightarrow xCO + (y/2)H_2 \quad \text{Reaction 2}
\end{align*}
\]

After particulate removal, the syngas is sent to a shift converter to undergo a water gas shift reaction:

\[CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2\]

**Reaction 3 (393.15–623.15 K; 15 000 kPa)¹⁶⁺⁴⁴**

Steam is utilised in the converter as a reactant. A gas mixture of CO₂, H₂, and sulphurous and nitrogenous compounds leave the converter. Unreacted steam is often removed as water. Desulphurisation and denitrification processes are then employed depending on the presence of sulphur- and nitrogen-containing chemicals. The resulting gas mixture contains approximately 50 vol% H₂, 40 vol% CO₂, 2 vol% CO and other trace elements. The gas occurs at 2700 kPa and 310 K.⁴

At this point in the process, CO₂ may be removed using a feasible CO₂ capture technique. CO₂ may then be compressed and stored. After CO₂ capture, H₂ is burned to generate steam at approximately 12 400 kPa⁴⁵ which is used to drive the turbines and hence generate electricity.

The electricity generated by the turbine is used to power the gasifier, shift converter, air separation and compression operations. The remaining electrical energy is then available for commercial use.

IGCC processes are estimated to require higher investment costs than PC processes. However, upon integration of CO₂ capture into the plant, the total investment cost is lower for IGCC processes than for PC processes which are retrofitted for CO₂ capture. IGCC processes also introduce the prospect of pre-combustion capture after shift conversion. CO₂ is captured from flue gas at higher pressure, reducing CO₂ compression costs. It is these advantages that make IGCC an attractive option if the capacity of coal power in South Africa is expanded.

**Oxy-fuel combustion**

This technique is a modification of the PC power plant. It involves burning coal in nearly pure oxygen.

Oxygen is cryogenically separated from air in an air separation unit. Other air components are emitted into the atmosphere while oxygen is used in the boiler for coal combustion. The resulting heat converts water to superheated steam, for use in steam turbines. The resulting flue gas from combustion is treated for ash and sulphur removal, and thereafter contains CO₂ and water vapour. Water is separated from CO₂ by cooling the flue gas. A schematic of the process is shown in Figure 14.

The main advantage of the process is that the flue gas is available at a high CO₂ concentration of approximately 75.7 mol%, thereby reducing compression costs and facilitating efficient CO₂ removal.² Moreover,
CO₂ is easily separated from H₂O. The modification of PC to oxy-fuel combustion is also easier than constructing an IGCC process. Oxy-fuel combustion is estimated to inherently result in lower CO₂ emissions than IGCC and conventional PC processes.⁴⁶

The disadvantage is the high flaming temperature at which coal burns in the presence of pure oxygen, which puts much strain on the material of construction.⁴² To mitigate this, flue gas is recycled to enable temperature control, as shown in Figure 14. Captured and cooled CO₂ streams may also assist in lowering the temperature of the boiler. Moreover, air separation units require high amounts of energy to obtain pure oxygen from air. Cryogenic methods are also presently accompanied by high energy penalties.

**Integrated gasification steam cycle**

A US consortium consisting of Siemens Ltd., MAN Ltd., CO₂ Global, Imperial College London, and Jacobs Consultancy has conducted research into a modified IGCC coal combustion process called integrated gasification steam cycle (IGSC), in order to minimise the energy penalty associated with coal power plants possessing CO₂ capture. As seen in Figure 15, waste energy is efficiently utilised through a relatively complex system of recycle streams and turbines of varying pressure.

The process consists of a two-stage combustion system. Coal is gasified in a quench gasifier, which utilises water for temperature control. The resultant syngas contains carbon monoxide, hydrogen gas and oxygen...
gas, which is passed through a fired expander to generate power. The expander consists of a burner connected to a turbine. Combustion is completed in the expander at temperatures over 1000 K.

The exhaust heat is used to raise high pressure steam in a heat recovery steam generation system, which is then used to power an additional turbine retrofitted to the process. Resultant gases, containing primarily \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{CO}_2 \) and trace \( \text{SO}_2 \), are then cooled in a desaturator to remove \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and recover \( \text{CO}_2 \) in post-combustion mode. The desaturator utilises recycled cooling water and, if optimised, can drive a low-pressure turbine, generating additional power.\(^{47}\)

The advantage is that the process can potentially obtain 100% \( \text{CO}_2 \) recovery and increase power plant output by 60% compared to conventional PC power plants.\(^{48}\) Conventional turbines can be used and \( \text{CO}_2 \) is available at high pressure.

The capital cost – in the form of the air separation units required to provide oxygen to the gasifier – of IGSC processes is their main drawback. A desulphurisation unit may also be required for coal with a high sulphur content.

Research on IGSC is limited solely to the consortium that invented it, barring all possibility of finding data from other independent sources. There is however, abundant information available from the consortium itself.\(^{47}\)

**Chemical looping combustion**

This technique is a further modification of oxy-fuel combustion. Instead of utilising oxygen from an air separation unit for coal combustion, oxygen derived from metal oxides is used.\(^{49}\) As shown in Figure 16, two fluidised bed reactors are used: the air reactor (1) and fuel reactor (3). Particulate metal or metal oxide is oxidised in the air reactor using air, thereby acting as an oxygen carrier. A cyclone (2) is used to separate the carrier from unreacted components of air, which are emitted as flue gas. The particulate oxygen carrier is transferred into the fuel reactor (3).

![Figure 16: An illustration of chemical looping combustion.\(^{49}\)](image)

The oxygen carrier is reduced in a combustion reaction with coal and recycled to the air reactor. Flue gas from the fuel reactor contains \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \), and can be used to drive a turbine before being separated by cryogenic means.

The reactions occur typically at 1173.15–1573.15 K.\(^{50}\) Different metal oxides (such as \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CuO} \) and \( \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \), nickel, manganese and calcium oxides) can be used as the oxygen carrier.\(^{51,52}\)

The advantage of chemical looping combustion is that no air separation unit is required, and flue gas contains primarily \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) with \( \text{CO}_2 \) available at 31 wt% in the flue gas, which is higher than conventional PC power plant flue gas.\(^{25}\)

The current disadvantage of chemical looping is the high investment cost required for the technology, which deters research and implementation. Another fundamental challenge to implementation is choosing an ideal oxygen carrier. Current studies show the conversion rate under oxidising conditions, using conventional oxygen carriers to be very fast (nearly 100%/min).\(^{42}\) However, the occurrence of side reactions with undesirable products is yet to be minimised.\(^{13}\)

Most research on chemical looping that is currently underway is on the finding of a suitable oxygen carrier.\(^{44}\) Despite this, a pilot plant has been developed in Sweden to investigate the industrial operation of chemical looping.\(^{43,50}\)

**\( \text{CO}_2 \) transportation**

After the removal of \( \text{CO}_2 \) from coal power plants and other industrial sources, \( \text{CO}_2 \) needs to be either transported to locations where it is stored or used in various processes. Currently \( \text{CO}_2 \) is transported by road. However, the quantity of \( \text{CO}_2 \) attained from \( \text{CO}_2 \) capture processes may necessitate that \( \text{CO}_2 \) be transported via ship or pipeline. In both cases, the required compression pressure can be 10 000–50 000 kPa,\(^{14}\) depending on the distance and intended disposal or use of \( \text{CO}_2 \).\(^{25}\)

Compressors may need to be installed every 100 km or so for transport over long distances. Hazards such as the acidic nature of \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas have to be taken into account when transporting \( \text{CO}_2 \), particularly if the stream is impure.

**\( \text{CO}_2 \) storage**

The high heat of formation of \( \text{CO}_2 \) of -393.5 kJ/mol\(^{13}\) provides great difficulty in converting \( \text{CO}_2 \) to high value products, despite current and recent efforts.\(^{54}\) While \( \text{CO}_2 \) has many uses in various commercial enterprises, the sheer amount of \( \text{CO}_2 \) that would be captured from industrial processes necessitates alternative disposal methods.

The term ‘sequestration’ describes the removal of \( \text{CO}_2 \) from the atmosphere and its long-term storage.\(^{55}\) While there are many options to store \( \text{CO}_2 \), the most promising strategy involves injecting \( \text{CO}_2 \) at least 800 m underground, where ambient pressure and temperature are sufficient to result in liquid or supercritical \( \text{CO}_2 \), and into a formation with an impermeable cap rock so that no substantial leakage may occur.\(^{54}\)

\( \text{CO}_2 \) can be stored in geological formations such as former natural gas, oil or brine fields (saline formations), and un-minable coal beds that contain porous rock (Figure 17). There is also the possibility of storing \( \text{CO}_2 \) in offshore formations of the same nature, but this option is more technically challenging and expensive.

A well-sealed cap rock, containing a layer of shale and clay is essential to prevent upward \( \text{CO}_2 \) migration and leakage.\(^{56}\) This form of storage is known as structural trapping and is the most dominant method of trapping gases underground. Residual trapping occurs to a lesser extent, where \( \text{CO}_2 \) gets trapped in porous rock through displacement and migration. \( \text{CO}_2 \) may also be trapped through dissolution in underground brine solutions present in porous rock, a mechanism known as solubility trapping. Final trapping of \( \text{CO}_2 \) occurs upon formation of carbonic acid and, finally, solid carbonate minerals.\(^{15}\)

A good knowledge of the underground reservoir size is needed to account for horizontal migration of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and ensure ultimate trapping by geochemical means, such as carbonate formation from reactions with \( \text{CO}_2 \) and the host rock.\(^{54,58}\)
While CO$_2$ capture is relatively underdeveloped for commercial use, CO$_2$ sequestration is already prevalent in oil, gas and coal industries in Canada, Algeria, the USA, Norway, the Netherlands, China, Japan, Poland and Australia.

Viljoen and Cloete present areas in South Africa where CO$_2$ can be stored. These include saline formations (structures of porous rock typically containing mineralised brine solutions in the pores), gas reservoirs and depleted coal mines. The closest area to many of Eskom’s operations, and most CO$_2$-emitting industries in general, are parts of the Northern Karoo, which possesses free saline formations, as well as depleted coal mines. Further formations are shown in Figure 18, which includes large offshore storage opportunities as well.

Figure 18 shows that some possible storage sites are relatively close to the CO$_2$-emitting sources shown in Figure 1. CO$_2$ compression and transportation costs via pipelines could be reduced. However, many possible storage sites are far from CO$_2$ emission sources. CO$_2$ storage attempts are currently being planned in South Africa, with a test scheduled for 2016. If CO$_2$ storages tests are successful, CO$_2$ capture implementation tests should be completed by 2020 (Surridge T, 2011, oral and written communication, November 28).

**Uses of CO$_2$ storage**

In addition to high pressure CO$_2$ storage in porous reservoirs, CO$_2$ may be injected underground to assist in the recovery of high value resources such as oil, natural gas, and methane. These are more feasible solutions that can partially or completely recover the cost of CO$_2$ capture and storage. Below are a few options for CO$_2$ storage. (Refer to Figure 17 for illustrations.)

**CO$_2$ enhanced oil recovery**

Enhanced oil recovery refers to the strategy of injecting CO$_2$ into nearly depleted oil wells to pressurise the well and force the remaining oil upwards. CO$_2$ also reduces the viscosity of the oil for easier extraction. Once the oil is depleted, the CO$_2$ is sealed off underground. Two boreholes are drilled, one for injecting CO$_2$ and the other to allow the upward migration of oil. Existing boreholes may also be used. Enhanced oil recovery can increase the recovery rate of oil by 8–15%, and can ultimately increase the recovery of oil by up to 50% of the total oil originally recoverable.

A major enhanced oil recovery project using CO$_2$ has been conducted in Canada. Enhanced oil recovery projects using other gases such as N$_2$ and hydrocarbon gas mixtures are also a mature technology.

**CO$_2$ enhanced gas recovery**

Enhanced gas recovery refers to the extraction of natural gas from nearly depleted gas reservoirs using CO$_2$. Natural gas is a mixture composed of methane and various hydrocarbon gases. After conventional extraction, gas reservoirs still contain 10–20% of their initial capacity. Because of the reduced pressure in the reservoir, conventional extraction becomes unfeasible. CO$_2$ injection increases the pressure in the reservoir. Moreover, CO$_2$ is denser than natural gas and sinks to lower regions of the reservoir, forcing natural gas upwards. In this way, the reservoir can be completely emptied of all natural gas. An enhanced gas recovery system is in operation in the Netherlands.

**CO$_2$ enhanced coal bed methane recovery**

Enhanced coal bed methane (ECBM) recovery involves the extraction of methane gas from coal seams using CO$_2$. The process of extraction is similar to enhanced gas recovery but is done with coal seams. CO$_2$ is injected into an un-minable or unfeasibly minable coal bed and methane is forced up through a drilled outlet channel. Conventional extraction techniques recover 50% of methane in coal beds. The use of CO$_2$ in ECBM has the potential to increase methane recovery to 90% or even 100%.
While the strategy seems theoretically attractive in terms of methane recovery, the main concern with ECBM recovery is the potential for CO$_2$ leakage which might occur as a result of the relatively shallow depth of extraction and the permeability of coal seams that are required. Although shallow depth and permeable rock facilitate efficient methane recovery, they also are conducive to permanent, stable CO$_2$ storage.

Moreover, for leakage to be prevented and for predictable channeling of methane and CO$_2$ to occur, the coal bed has to be sufficiently thick, which requires an amount of coal to be left un-mined and the mine must be rendered un-minable. A thorough feasibility analysis is required to ensure that ECBM is worth the cost of un-mined coal. Additionally, coal mines that seem uneconomical to mine presently may be economically minable with technological development in the future. However, CO$_2$ ECBM may render these coal mines permanently un-minable.

ECBM operations are currently underway in Canada, China, Japan and Poland. The strategy has some potential in South Africa because of the vast number of coal beds in the country. However, coal beds offer the least amount of storage potential in South Africa and worldwide because of their shallow depth and capacity compared to other types of potential storage reservoirs, and the permanent loss of currently un-minable coal.

**Conclusions**

Seven main CO$_2$ capture techniques have been identified which show great promise as industrial CO$_2$ emissions mitigation solutions. The technique of CO$_2$ absorption using solvents was identified as currently the best option for industrial implementation as a capture technique. While other techniques are under consideration, the use of solvents is currently the most likely to be implemented in the South African CCS schedule. Other techniques, while potentially more efficient than the use of solvents, still require substantial research to bring them to the stage of industrial implementation. Four power plant modifications or alternative process designs have been identified and are currently at the pilot plant stage of research. No country has as yet issued a full roll-out of these technologies and it remains unclear as to when these designs would be implemented on an industrial scale. The potential areas of CO$_2$ storage have been mapped out, with a test injection due to commence in 2016. Three uses of CO$_2$ storage have been identified to make CO$_2$ storage more economically attractive, but their applicability to South Africa requires more thorough investigation.
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